

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2024.v24.no.2.341

EFFECT OF GRADED LEVELS OF ESSENTIAL HEAVY METALS ON THE DRY WEIGHT CHANGES OF TUBEROSE CV. 'PRAJWAL'

L. Gowthami^{1*} and V. Vijaya Bhaskar²

¹College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Anantharajupeta - 516 105, Railway Kodur (Mandal),

Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh, India.

²College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Chinalataripi - 523 115, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh, India.

*Corresponding author E-mail : floriglori8@gmail.com

(Date of Receiving-22-06-2024; Date of Acceptance-13-09-2024)

An experiment was conducted with graded levels of three different essential heavy metals viz., MnSO₄(1000, 2000 and 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil), CuSO₄ (100, 200 and 300 mg kg⁻¹ soil) and ZnSO₄ (200, 400 and 600 mg kg⁻¹ soil) in addition to Control *i.e.*, without external application of any essential heavy metals mentioned above. The experiment was carried out continuously for two years in polybag culture method and conducted with a Completely Randomized Design using three replications. The data recorded at every 90 days after planting (DAP) interval on dry weight changes of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' during different phases of vegetative growth were analyzed using OPSTAT software and the least significant difference was used to differentiate the treatments. Analysis of results indicated that soil application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded a significant improvement in the dry weight changes of different vegetative parameters viz., dry weight of ABSTRACT leaves (54.86 g and 62.90 g, respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis), dry weight of roots (15.73 g and 18.49 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis), dry weight of bulbs (27.80 g and 58.25 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis), the above ground dry biomass (74.80 g, 93.53 g and 84.16 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) and the total dry biomass (95.46 g, 212.23 g and 145.03 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) per plant.

Key words: CuSO₄, Heavy metals, MnSO₄, Dry leaf yield, Total biomass, Tuberose and ZnSO₄.

Introduction

Contamination of soils with heavy metals is considered as one of the serious environmental concerns due to persistent nature of heavy metals as well as their biomagnification potential in the soil. Presence of high concentrations of both essential and non-essential heavy metals are considered to affect the plant growth and development adversely and sometimes even lead to death under extreme conditions and thus heavy metal toxicity has been considered as one of the major abiotic stresses leading to hazardous effects in plants as many of them were found toxic even at a very low-level concentrations in the soil. Industrial revolution has accelerated the biosphere with heavy metals all over the world. A common response of heavy metal toxicity on plants was excessive accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which can cause peroxidation of lipids, oxidation of proteins, inactivation of enzymes, DNA damage and/ or interact with other vital constituents of plant cells (Bohra *et al.*, 2015). Certain heavy metals were found nutritionally essential for healthy growth of plant in very small quantities such as iron (Fe), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), Magnesium (Mg) and zinc (Zn). These metals were found required in specific amounts and their deficiencies or elevated concentrations will result in deleterious effects on plant growth and development and thus reduce plant productivity. Out of the several heavy metals of essential and non-essential nature, three essential heavy metals *viz.*, Mn, Cu and Zn were found required in trace amounts for better growth, development and metabolic activity of

plants and thus have been selected in the present investigation to identify their level of beneficial and toxic effects on the plant's metabolic activity under heavily accumulated condition in the soil. General metabolic functions and toxicity of these essential heavy metals on plant's growth and metabolism has been briefly discussed to show the basis for selection of tuberose plants to remove these elements from soil through the process of phytoremediation in the present investigation with the main objective to find out the dry weight changes of tuberose *cv.* 'Prajwal' as influenced by graded levels of different essential heavy metals *viz.*, Mn, Cu and Zn.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out during the period from Rabi-2018 to Kharif-2020 at the College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Anantharajupeta, Kadapa district of Andhra Pradesh. The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design (CRD) with factorial concept and replicated thrice. The experiment has consisted of 10 treatments viz., $T_1 =$ $RDF+MnSO_4 @ 1,000 mg kg^{-1} soil, T_2 = RDF+MnSO_4 @$ 2,000 mg kg⁻¹ soil, $T_3 = RDF + MnSO_4$ @ 3,000 mg kg⁻¹ soil, $T_4 = RDF+CuSO_4$ @ 100 mg kg⁻¹ soil, $T_5 =$ $RDF+CuSO_4$ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil, $T_6 = RDF+CuSO_4$ @ $300 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ soil}, \text{ T}_7 = \text{RDF} + \text{ZnSO}_4 @ 200 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ soil},$ $T_8 = RDF + ZnSO_4$ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil, $T_9 = RDF + ZnSO_4$ @ 600 mg kg⁻¹ soil, $T_{10} = Control$ (No RDF and no essential heavy metals application). The main objective of the investigation was to find out the dry weight changes of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' as influenced by graded levels of essential heavy metals (Mn, Cu, Zn). Dry weight changes with respect to leaves, flower stalks, roots and bulbs of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' was weighed by using an electronic balance with 1 milli gram precision and expressed as grams per plant. The above ground dry biomass was calculated by weighing the dry weight of leaves, flower stalks and florets present on each plant and the total was expressed as grams per plant. Total dry biomass was calculated by weighing the dry weights of root, bulb, leaf, stem and flower stalks present on each plant and the total was expressed as grams per plant. The data obtained was analyzed using OPSTAT software and the least significant difference was used to differentiate the treatment differences.

Results and Discussion

Significant differences were noticed in the dry weight of flowers per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' (Table 1). Among the graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations, application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of flowers per

plant (8.40 g, 19.20 g and 13.80 g, respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) followed by application of ZnSO₄ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis. However, application of ZnSO₄ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil was found at par with the application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil (8.23 g) with respect to dry weight of flowers per plant during 2018-19 only. Among the concentrations of $ZnSO_4$, application of ZnSO₄ @ 600 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly lowest dry weight of flowers per plant. Application of graded levels of MnSO₄ and CuSO₄ recorded non-significant differences among their concentrations with respect to dry weight of flowers per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal'. Among all the treatments, significantly lowest dry weight of flowers per plant was noticed in the untreated control plants (0.21 g, 1.45 g and 0.83 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis). Based on the analysis of results, a substantive increase noticed in dry weight of flowers per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' by application of ZnSO @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil might be attributed to significant increase in the vegetative growth parameters of tuberose plant which have ultimately contributed to an increase in the number of flower spikes per plant and subsequently the number florets per spike due to influence of zinc in increasing the plant metabolism and enhanced biosynthesis of auxins in the plant thus increased the accumulation of nutrients and water from soil (Cakmak et al., 1999). Shanker et al. (1999) opined that application of micronutrients have beneficial effects on the flower yield traits which might be attributed to activation of various enzymes in the plant system as well as efficient utilization of applied nutrients especially the zinc which enhanced the flower yield in sesamum. Similar kind of observation was also reported by Singaravel et al. (2001) in sesamum.

Significant variation was noticed among the intervals of observation recorded on dry weight of flowers per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis. Among the intervals, significantly highest dry weight of flowers per plant (7.64 g, 10.37 g and 9.00 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at 360 DAP, whereas significantly lowest dry weight of flowers per plant (1.31 g, 6.75 g and 4.03 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at the initial 90 DAP interval during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis. The data with respect to dry weight of flowers per plant was found non-significant in the successive intervals in between the 90 to 180 DAP during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis. The data

T							Drvflov	ver vield n	ant ⁻¹ (o)						
of element		0	018 - 2019				2	019 - 202	0			Pool	led (2018-2	20)	
kg ⁻¹ Soll)	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	I.,	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	I_{360}	Mean	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean
$MnSO_4$ 1000	0.20	0.26	4.50	5.66	2.65	3.06	5.60	8.63	8.83	6.53	1.63	2.93	6.56	7.24	4.59
$MnSO_4 2000$	1.16	0.20	4.93	7.83	3.53	6.36	0.00	5.66	6.23	4.56	3.76	0.10	5.29	7.03	4.05
$MnSO_4 3000$	1.16	2.16	4.26	5.10	3.17	5.76	5.90	5.23	8.80	6.42	3.46	4.03	4.74	6.95	4.80
$CuSO_4 100$	1.03	1.06	5.56	9.06	4.18	9.20	8.06	8.16	12.36	9.45	5.11	4.56	6.86	10.71	6.81
$CuSO_4 200$	0.86	2.06	9.80	6.40	4.78	9.50	5.06	4.70	11.63	7.72	5.18	3.56	7.25	9.01	6.25
CuSO ₄ 300	0.00	1.06	7.83	9.16	4.51	4.86	4.93	15.66	9.23	8.67	2.43	2.99	11.74	9.19	6.59
$ZnSO_4 200$	3.03	2.70	16.06	11.13	8.23	5.53	15.80	15.23	15.50	13.01	4.28	9.25	15.64	13.31	10.62
$ZnSO_4400$	4.13	2.83	12.00	14.66	8.40	17.50	21.96	16.93	20.43	19.20	10.81	12.39	14.46	17.54	13.80
ZnSO ₄ 600	1.53	0.93	4.53	6.53	3.38	5.76	5.76	8.04	8.73	7.07	3.65	3.34	6.28	7.63	5.23
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.21	0.00	2.53	1.36	1.93	1.45	0.00	1.26	0.68	1.39	0.83
Mean	1.31	1.33	6.95	7.64		6.75	7.56	8.96	10.37		4.03	4.44	7.95	9.00	
Factor		L	I		×I		T			[×I		F _1	I		I×I
SEm±	0	8	0.59	-	68.	1	.43	0.90		1.43	0.8	36	0.54	-	.72
CD at 5%	101	99	1.68		NS	4	10	2.55		NS	2.4	51	1.53		S

Table 1: Influence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, Mn, Zn) on dry flower yield plant⁻¹ of *Polianthes tuberosa cv*. Prajwal.

with respect to dry weight of flowers per plant in between the successive intervals of 270 to 360 DAP was also observed non-significant during 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis. However, the data with regard to dry weight of flowers per plant was found nonsignificant in between all the successive intervals of observation recorded during 2019-20.

The data pertaining to interaction effect between graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations and the intervals of observation recorded with respect to dry weight of flowers per plant was found nonsignificant during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis.

Significant variation was observed in the dry weight of leaves per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' (Table 2). Among the graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations, application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of leaves per plant (31.06 g, 64.50 g and 47.50 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) followed by application of ZnSO₄ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil. Among the zinc sulphate concentrations, application of ZnSO₄ @ 600 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly lowest dry weight of leaves per plant during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis. Soil application of MnSO₄ at 1000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of leaves per plant among the MnSO₄ concentrations, whereas application of MnSO₄ at 2000 and 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded nonsignificant differences in dry weight of leaves per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' during 2018-19, whereas soil application of MnSO₄ at 2000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly lowest dry weight of leaves per plant, but soil application of MnSO₄ at 1000 and 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded non-significant differences with respect to dry weight of leaves per plant during 2019-20. Soil application of graded levels of CuSO₄ recorded nonsignificant differences among their concentrations with respect to dry weight of leaves per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' during 2018-19, whereas significant differences were noticed in dry weight of leaves per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' by soil application of graded levels of CuSO₄ during 2019-20. Soil application of CuSO₄ @ 100 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of leaves per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal', whereas soil application of CuSO₄ @ 200 and 300 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded non-significant differences with respect to dry weight of leaves per plant in the pooled data analysis. Among all the treatments, untreated control plants recorded significantly lowest dry weight of leaves per plant (8.55 g, 23.46 g and 16.01 g respectively during 2018-19,

-
~
22
.2
e,
£.
2
0
g
S
2
Š
Ę,
t_{l}
S
e e
t l
Ц
.2
1
~
-
F
_
ι L
n
a
þ
Ę
Ĕ
<u>e</u> .
5
ч
g
le
5
<u> </u>
р
u
0
H
Ĵ,
-
-
Σ
ı, M
Cu, M
(Cu, M
s (Cu, M
ıls (Cu, M
tals (Cu, M
ietals (Cu, M
metals (Cu, M
y metals (Cu, M
vy metals (Cu, M
avy metals (Cu, M
neavy metals (Cu, M
heavy metals (Cu, M
al heavy metals (Cu, M
tial heavy metals (Cu, M
ntial heavy metals (Cu, M
ential heavy metals (Cu, M
ssential heavy metals (Cu, M
essential heavy metals (Cu, M
d essential heavy metals (Cu, M
ed essential heavy metals (Cu, M
lied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
pplied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
f applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
e of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
ce of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
nce of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
tence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
Juence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
ıfluence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
Influence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
: Influence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
2 : Influence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
e 2 : Influence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
Me 2 : Influence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M
uble 2 : Influence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, M

Treatment (mg							Dry lea	ıf yield pla	int ⁻¹ (g)						
of element		ñ	018 - 2019				0	019 - 202	0			P_{00}	led (2018-	20)	
kg ⁻¹ soil)	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	I‰	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean
${ m MnSO}_41000$	3.40	3.63	14.33	48.33	17.42	51.90	55.33	57.10	60.40	56.18	27.65	29.48	35.71	54.36	36.80
${ m MnSO}_42000$	3.30	3.50	23.20	31.53	15.38	37.46	41.00	44.70	46.96	42.53	20.38	22.25	33.95	39.25	28.95
${ m MnSO}_4$ 3000	3.26	3.53	14.86	43.20	16.21	47.46	51.46	52.30	55.50	51.68	25.36	27.50	33.58	49.35	33.95
$CuSO_4 100$	2.63	2.80	15.46	42.13	15.75	44.66	49.33	52.16	55.16	50.33	23.65	26.06	33.81	48.65	33.04
$CuSO_4 200$	3.43	3.66	18.80	35.20	15.27	38.53	46.00	48.30	51.26	46.02	20.98	24.83	33.55	43.23	30.65
$CuSO_4 300$	4.80	5.43	24.26	22.13	14.15	38.13	39.46	42.56	46.16	41.58	21.46	22.44	33.41	34.14	27.86
$ZnSO_4 200$	2.80	3.33	32.26	35.53	18.48	58.93	60.00	63.70	65.36	62.00	30.86	31.66	47.98	50.44	40.23
$ZnSO_400$	7.33	7.80	54.26	54.86	31.06	56.56	62.93	67.76	70.93	64.50	31.95	35.36	61.01	62.90	47.80
$ZnSO_4 600$	4.30	4.63	15.13	23.80	11.96	28.26	34.26	39.93	41.43	35.97	16.28	19.45	27.53	32.61	23.97
Control	2.63	3.20	12.13	16.26	8.55	17.00	21.46	25.76	29.63	23.46	9.81	12.33	18.95	22.95	16.01
Mean	3.79	4.15	22.46	35.29		41.89	46.12	49.43	52.28		22.84	25.14	35.94	43.78	
Factor		T	I	L	×I		T	I]×I	E	-	H		I×'
SEm±	Ö	.57	0.366	1.	15	0	.80	0.51		.61	5.0	53	0.33	1	.06
CD at 5%	1.	.63	1.03	3.	26	2	.27	1.44		NS	1.4	f9	0.94	2	.98

2019-20 and the pooled data analysis). Based on the analysis of results, it may be concluded that application of zinc sulphate at the rate of 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil might have exerted the efficient translocation and utilization of nutrients as well as water from soil and thus activated various enzymes in the plant system which caused an increase in the dry weight of leaves per plant in tuberose *cv.* 'Prajwal'. Further, Zarmehri *et al.* (2013) reported an increase in the leaf area of maize by application of zinc sulphate which caused an increase in the leaf area increase in the leaf area and thus recorded an increase in the dry weight of leaf.

Significant differences were noticed among the intervals of observation recorded with respect to dry weight of leaves per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal'. Among the intervals of observation recorded, significantly highest dry weight of leaves per plant (35.29 g, 52.28 g and 43.78 g, respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at 360 DAP, whereas significantly lowest dry weight of leaves per plant (3.79 g, 41.89 g and 22.84 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at the initial 90 DAP interval. Significant differences were noticed in the successive intervals of observation recorded with respect to dry weight of leaves per plant during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis, except in between the successive intervals of 90 to 180 DAP during 2018-19, where non-significant difference was noticed with respect to dry weight of leaves per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal'. A gradual increase in the dry leaf yield per plant was noticed in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis which may be attributed to the accumulation of photo assimilates in the plant with the passage of time.

The data pertaining to interaction effect between graded levels of soil applied essential heavy metal concentrations and the intervals of observation recorded with respect to dry weight of leaves per plant was found significant during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis. Among the combination treatments, significantly highest dry weight of leaves per plant (54.86 g and 62.90 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded by application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil at 360 DAP and was found at par with the application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil at 270 DAP (54.26 g and 61.01 g, respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis), whereas significantly lowest dry weight of leaves per plant (2.63 g and 9.81 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded in the untreated control

Treatment (mg							Dry stal	lk yield pla	ant¹ (g)						
of element		5	018 - 2019				5	019 - 202	0			Poo	led (2018-2	20)	
- Kg Soll)	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean
${ m MnSO}_4$ 1000	0.18	0:30	3.53	6.66	2.67	2.46	4.96	7.06	7.60	5.52	1.32	2.63	5.29	7.13	4.09
$MnSO_4 2000$	0.22	0.30	3.00	7.03	2.63	4.93	0.00	4.26	3.80	3.25	2.58	0.15	3.63	5.41	2.94
$MnSO_4 3000$	0.12	0.50	3.46	4.20	2.07	6.73	6.80	5.20	10.63	7.34	3.42	3.65	4.33	7.41	4.70
$CuSO_4 100$	0.10	0.23	3.53	6.13	2.95	6.66	7.00	5.80	10.20	7.41	3.38	3.61	4.66	8.16	4.95
$CuSO_4 200$	0.14	0.40	4.56	2.73	1.96	6.46	3.30	2.60	10.06	5.60	3.30	1.85	3.58	6.39	3.78
$CuSO_4 300$	0.00	0.36	3.93	6.00	2.57	2.66	3.26	7.33	6.40	4.91	1.33	1.81	5.63	6.20	3.74
$ZnSO_4 200$	0.65	0.83	6.40	5.60	3.37	2.73	10.36	7.13	10.43	7.66	1.69	5.59	6.76	8.01	5.51
$ZnSO_4400$	0.70	1.00	7.93	8.53	4.09	10.46	9.66	11.16	12.03	10.83	5.58	5.33	9.54	10.28	7.68
$ZnSO_4 600$	0.14	0.36	3.60	5.93	2.51	5.20	6.33	6.46	8.93	6.73	2.67	3.34	5.03	7.43	4.62
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.33	0.83	0.00	2.40	5.40	2.03	2.45	0.00	1.20	2.70	2.68	1.64
Mean	0.22	0.43	3.99	5.61		4.83	5.40	6.24	8.21		2.53	2.91	5.11	6.91	
Factor		T	Ι	T	×I		T	Ι		[×I	L	F .	Ι	L	I×I
SEm±	0.	69	0.44	1.	.39	1	.06	0.67		2.13	0.6	<u> </u>	0.41	1	.32
CD at 5%	2	S	1.24	4	4S	3	.01	1.90		NS	1.8	86	1.18	_	NS

plants at 90 DAP. The data recorded on interaction effect of dry weight of leaves per plant was found non-significant during 2019-20. Based on the analysis of results, a significant increase recorded in dry weight of leaves per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' might be attributed to the influence of zinc sulphate in increasing the vegetative growth parameters of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' by efficient absorption of nutrients and water from soil as well as biosynthesis of auxins in the developing tissue of plant especially in the leaf. All these increased activities of physiological and biochemical might have contributed an increase in the metabolism of plant which in turn might have contributed an increase in the accumulation of more photo assimilates in the plant parts especially the leaves and flowers (Cakmak et al., 1999). Similar kind of observation in tuberose was reported earlier by Patel

et al. (2017) which is in line with the present result. Significant variation was noticed in the data with respect to dry weight of flower stalks per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' (Table 3) during 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis. Among the graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations, application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of flower stalks per plant (10.83 g and 7.86 g respectively during 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) followed by application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil and was found at par with the application of ZnSO₄ @ 600 mg kg⁻¹ soil during 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis. Application of MnSO₄ @ 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of flower stalks per plant (7.34 g) and was found at par with the application of MnSO₄ @ 1000 mg kg-1 soil, whereas significantly lowest dry weight of flower stalks per plant was recorded by application of $MnSO_4$ @ 2000 mg kg⁻¹ soil during 2019-20. However, non-significant differences were noticed in the pooled data analysis with respect to dry weight of flower stalks per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' by soil application of graded levels of MnSO₄. Soil application of graded levels of CuSO₄ recorded nonsignificant differences in the dry weight of flower stalks per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' during 2019-20 as well as in the pooled data analysis. Among all the treatments, significantly lowest dry weight of flower stalks per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' was recorded in the untreated control plants (2.45 g and 1.64 g during 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis respectively). Based on the analysis of results, it was evident that soil application of graded levels of ZnSO₄ recorded significant increase in the dry weight of flower stalks in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' which might be attributed to an increase in the total biomass of the plant in the

	e or appri-	en essenn	ан неаvу ши	elais (Cu,	MIII, ZIII) U	nu y roor	yieiu piaii	1 - OI <i>L'OIIU</i>	ann saun	rosa cv. FI	aj wai.				
Treatment (mg							Dry ro	ot yield pla	$nt^{1}(g)$						
of element		6	018 - 2019				6	019 - 2020				Poo	led (2018-2	(0)	
	I_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	I ₉₀	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	I_{360}	Mean	I.90	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean
${ m MnSO}_41000$	1.40	3.66	7.73	90.6	5.46	96.6	15.66	16.73	17.30	14.91	5.68	9.66	12.23	12.61	10.04
$MnSO_4 2000$	1.60	1.73	6.46	7.13	4.23	7.73	10.73	12.16	13.20	10.95	4.66	6.23	9.31	9.65	7.46
$MnSO_4 3000$	0.40	2.90	5.60	11.26	5.04	16.46	17.73	19.13	19.40	18.18	8.43	10.31	12.36	13.55	11.16
$CuSO_4 100$	1.20	1.43	10.20	11.73	6.14	16.73	18.40	18.86	19.50	18.37	8.96	9.91	14.53	14.96	12.09
$CuSO_4 200$	1.40	1.66	6.20	14.43	5.92	99.66	12.53	13.26	13.86	12.33	5.53	7.09	9.73	11.49	8.46
$CuSO_4 300$	0.66	2.03	7.66	8.30	4.66	8.50	10.40	11.43	12.83	10.79	4.58	6.21	9.55	9.95	7.57
$ZnSO_4 200$	2.73	3.60	7.80	11.46	6.40	17.06	18.60	19.30	21.20	19.04	9.89	11.10	13.55	14.66	12.30
$ZnSO_4400$	1.46	2.83	12.86	15.73	8.22	19.00	21.30	22.46	23.76	21.63	10.23	12.06	17.66	18.49	14.61
$ZnSO_4 600$	1.46	1.66	6.60	8.33	4.51	16.36	17.16	18.40	18.93	17.71	8.91	9.41	12.50	12.95	10.94
Control	0.26	1.73	6.46	8.10	4.14	9.40	12.20	12.93	14.23	12.19	4.83	6.96	9.70	10.28	7.94
Mean	1.26	2.32	7.75	10.55		13.09	15.47	16.47	17.42		7.17	8.89	12.11	12.85	
Factor		T	Ι	L	I×I		T	Ι		[×I	L		Ι	L	I×
SEm±	Ö	.24	0.15	0	.49	0	135	0.22		0.70	0.2	2	0.14	0	4
CD at 5%		69	140		39		8	0.63		SZ	0.6	0	0.39		25

present study. Srinivasan and Velu (1982) explained the positive effect of Zn on increasing the content of chlorophylls and thus recorded a significant increase in the rate of photosynthesis in tuberose thereby enhanced the accumulation of photosynthates in the plant and thus recorded an increase in the total dry weight of flowers per plant in ground nut. Khije (1972) and Malewar *et al.* (1993) also reported similar kind of observation in different crops.

Significant differences were noticed among the intervals of observation recorded with respect to dry weight of flower stalks per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' by soil application of graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations. Among the intervals, significantly highest dry weight of flower stalks per plant (5.61 g, 8.21 g and 6.91 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at 360 DAP, whereas significantly lowest dry weight of flower stalks per plant (0.22 g, 4.83 g and 2.53 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at the initial 90 DAP interval during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis. However, the data with respect to dry weight of flower stalks per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' was found non-significant in between the successive intervals of observation at 90 to 180 DAP during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis.

The data pertaining to interaction effect between graded levels of soil applied essential heavy metal concentrations and the intervals of observation recorded with respect to dry weight of flower stalks per plant of tuberose *cv.* 'Prajwal' was found non-significant during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis.

Significant differences were noticed in the data with respect to dry weight of roots per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' (Table 4). Among the graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations, application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of roots per plant (8.22 g, 21.63 g and 14.61 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) followed by application of ZnSO₄ @ 200 mg kg-1 soil. Among the zinc sulphate concentrations, application of ZnSO₄ @ 600 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly lowest dry weight of roots per plant. Application of MnSO₄ @ 1000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of roots per plant (5.46 g) among the MnSO₄ concentrations and was found at par with the application of MnSO₄ @ 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil (5.04 g), whereas application of $MnSO_4$ @ 2000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly lowest dry weight of roots

per plant (4.23 g) during 2018-19. However, application of MnSO₄ @ 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of roots per plant (18.18 g and 11.16 g respectively during 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis), whereas application of MnSO₄ @ 2000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly lowest dry weight of roots per plant (10.95 g and 7.46 g respectively during 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis). Among the MnSO₄ concentrations, application of MnSO₄ @ 1000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded moderate values with respect to dry weight of roots per plant during 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis. Soil application of graded levels of CuSO₄ recorded decreasing dry weight of roots per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' with increasing concentration of CuSO₄ during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis. Among all the treatments, significantly lowest dry weight of roots per plant was recorded in the untreated control plants (4.14 g, 12.19 g and 7.94 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis).

Significant differences were noticed among the intervals of observation recorded with respect to dry weight of roots per plant of tuberose *cv.* 'Prajwal' during both the years of study as well as in the polled data analysis. Among the intervals, significantly highest dry weight of roots per plant (10.55 g, 17.42 g and 12.85 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was noticed at 360 DAP, whereas significantly lowest dry weight of roots per plant (1.26 g, 13.09 g and 7.17 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was noticed at the initial 90 DAP interval during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis. A gradual and significant increase in the dry weight of roots per plant was noticed during the successive intervals of observation recorded.

The data pertaining to interaction effect between graded levels of soil applied essential heavy metal concentrations and the intervals with respect to dry weight of roots per plant was found significant during 1st year of study *i.e.*, 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis, but the data was found non-significant during 2nd year of study *i.e.*, 2019-20. Among the combination treatments, significantly highest dry weight of roots per plant (15.73 g and 18.49 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded by application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil at 360 DAP followed by application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil at 270 DAP (12.86 g and 17.66 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis), whereas significantly lowest dry weight of roots per plant (0.26 g and 4.83 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded in the untreated control plants at the initial 90 DAP. From the result, it was evident that soil application of graded levels of $ZnSO_4$ recorded significant increase in the dry weight of roots per plant in comparison with other treatments which might be attributed to the enhanced physiological activities in the plant system. Sofy (2015) observed that wheat plants treated with Zn recorded a significant increase in dry weight of roots in comparison to the plants grown without application of Zn.

Significant differences were noticed in the dry weight of bulbs per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' (Table 5). Among the graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations, application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of bulbs per plant (21.85 g, 83.72 g and 51.87 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) followed by application of ZnSO₄ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil, whereas among the zinc sulphate concentrations, significantly lowest dry weight of bulbs per plant (12.46 g, 46.25g and 29.17 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded with the application of $ZnSO_4$ @ 600 mg kg⁻¹ soil. Soil application of graded levels of MnSO₄ recorded decreasing dry weight of bulbs per plant with increasing concentration of $MnSO_4$ during 2018-19. Soil application of MnSO₄ @ 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest dry weight of bulbs per plant (41.02 g and 25.19 g respectively during 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) and was found at par with the application of MnSO₄ @ 1000 mg kg⁻¹ soil during the pooled data analysis, whereas application of MnSO₄ @ 1000 and 2000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded non-significant differences in between the concentrations during 2019-20. However, the pooled data analysis recorded significantly decreasing trend in the dry weight of bulbs per plant up to MnSO₄ @ 2000 mg kg-1 soil and an increase in the dry weight of bulbs per plant was noticed by application of MnSO₄ @ 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil. Soil application of CuSO₄ recorded increasing trend in the dry weight of bulbs per plant by increasing concentration of CuSO₄ during 2018-19, whereas a decreasing trend in the dry weight of bulbs per plant with increasing concentration of CuSO₄ was noticed during 2019-20. The pooled data analysis has revealed that increasing trend in the dry weight of bulbs per plant was noticed up to the application of $CuSO_4$ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil and thereafter a decreasing trend was noticed in the dry weight of bulbs per plant with the application of CuSO₄ @ 300 mg kg⁻¹ soil and was found significantly lowest dry weight of bulbs per plant among the copper sulphate concentrations. Among all the treatments, significantly lowest dry weight of bulbs per plant was recorded in the untreated control plant (6.35 g, 27.03 g and 16.43 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis).

Significant differences were noticed among the

ence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, Mn, Zn) on dry bulb yield plant ⁻¹ of <i>Polianthes tuberosa cv</i> . Prajwal.	
lable 5 : Influe	

Treatment (mg							Dry bu	lb yield pla	mt ⁻¹ (g)						
of element		Ñ	018 - 2019				64	019 - 202	0			P00]	led (2018-2	2 0)	
kg ⁻ soll)	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	I ₉₀	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean
${ m MnSO}_41000$	10.70	11.93	16.03	17.93	14.15	33.26	35.70	37.40	38.46	36.20	21.98	22.71	27.71	28.19	25.14
MnSO_42000	8.60	12.23	12.73	15.70	12.31	27.73	35.13	37.63	39.73	35.05	18.16	20.37	25.18	27.71	22.85
$MnSO_4 3000$	5.46	6.46	8.66	19.66	10.06	36.33	39.93	42.86	44.96	41.02	20.89	21.81	25.76	32.31	25.19
$CuSO_4 100$	5.30	6.36	13.26	15.66	10.15	46.63	48.86	51.36	53.30	50.04	25.96	26.62	32.31	34.48	29.84
$CuSO_4 200$	6.40	6.63	16.06	24.66	13.44	45.40	48.93	51.43	52.76	49.63	25.90	26.65	33.75	38.71	31.25
$CuSO_4 300$	6.73	11.06	19.53	20.10	14.35	36.53	45.66	48.66	51.16	45.50	21.63	24.32	34.10	35.63	28.92
$ZnSO_4 200$	6.43	13.53	17.33	20.20	14.37	56.73	59.93	62.06	63.83	60.64	31.58	33.64	39.69	42.01	36.73
$ZnSO_4400$	15.26	18.46	25.86	27.80	21.85	75.60	84.60	86.00	88.70	83.72	45.43	47.87	55.93	58.25	51.87
$ZnSO_4 600$	8.63	9.73	15.46	16.03	12.46	44.33	45.66	47.10	47.93	46.25	26.48	26.96	31.28	31.98	29.17
Control	4.16	4.50	7.20	9.56	6.35	23.60	26.73	27.90	29.90	27.03	13.88	14.57	17.55	19.73	16.43
Mean	7.77	10.09	15.21	18.73		42.61	47.11	49.24	51.07		25.19	26.55	32.32	34.90	
Factor	-	L	Ι	L	×I		T	I		I×I	E	r .	I		I×
SEm±	0	47	0:30	0	.95	0	LLL	0.48		.53	0.4	2	0.26		.85
CD at 5%		34	0.84	61	.61	5	.15	1.36		NS	1.2	0	0.75		.40

intervals of observation with respect to dry weight of bulbs per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' by soil application of graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations. Among the intervals, significantly highest dry weight of bulbs per plant (18.73 g, 51.07 g and 34.90 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at 360 DAP. whereas significantly lowest dry weight of bulbs per plant (7.77 g, 42.61 g and 25.19 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at the initial 90 DAP interval during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis. A gradual and significant increase in the dry weight of bulbs per plant of tuberose was noticed at each successive interval of observation recorded during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis.

The data pertaining to interaction effects between graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations and the intervals of observation recorded with respect to dry weight of bulbs per plant was found significant during 1st year of study *i.e.*, 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis, but the data was found non-significant during 2nd year of study *i.e.*, 2019-20. Among the combination treatments, significantly highest dry weight of bulbs per plant (27.80 g and 58.25 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded by application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil at 360 DAP followed by application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil at 270 DAP (25.86 g and 55.93 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis), whereas significantly lowest dry weight of bulbs per plant (4.16 g and 13.88 g respectively during 2018-19 and the pooled data analysis) was noticed in the untreated control plants at 90 DAP. Significant increase recorded in the dry weight of bulbs per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' by soil application of ZnSO, @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil might be attributed to the key role played by Zn element in the cell division and cell enlargement as well as active role played in many of the physiological activities in the plant system. Similar kind of observation was also reported earlier in onion by Meena and Singh (1998). Further, Abedin et al. (2012) and Gamelli et al. (2000) reported significant increase in the dry weight of bulb per plant in onion by application of Zn.

Significant variation was noticed in the data of above ground dry biomass per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' (Table 6). Among the graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations, application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest above ground dry biomass per plant (45.46 g, 85.15 g and 64.34 g respectively during 2018-19, 201920 and the pooled data analysis) followed by application of ZnSO₄ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil, whereas application of ZnSO, @ 600 mg kg-1 soil recorded significantly lowest (25.75 g, 62.54 g and 44.22 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) above ground dry biomass per plant, among the concentrations of ZnSO₄. Soil application of graded levels of MnSO4 recorded a significantly decreasing trend in the above ground dry biomass per plant during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis with increasing concentration of MnSO₄. the above ground dry biomass yield plant¹ of Polianthes tuberosa However, non-significant differences were noticed in the MnSO₄ applied at 2000 and 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil during 2018-19 only. Soil application of graded levels of CuSO recorded a significantly increasing trend in the above ground dry biomass per plant during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis with increasing concentration of CuSO₄. However, non-significant differences were noticed in the $CuSO_A$ applied at 100 and 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil during 2018-19 only with respect to above ground dry biomass per plant. Among all the treatments, untreated control plants recorded significantly lowest above ground dry biomass per plant (9.81 g, 46.05 g and 27.10 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis). These results were found in agreement with the earlier findings of Rehman (1992) who reported an increase in the accumulation of dry matter after anthesis in sesame.

Significant differences were noticed among the intervals of observation recorded with respect to the above ground dry biomass per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis. Among the intervals, significantly highest above ground dry biomass per plant (46.43 g, 73.37 g and 59.90 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at 360 DAP, whereas significantly lowest above ground dry biomass per plant (7.12 g, 59.41 g and 33.26 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at the initial 90 DAP interval. Based on the analysis of results, it may be concluded that a gradual and significant increase in the above ground dry biomass per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' was noticed during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis.

The data pertaining to interaction effects between graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations and the intervals of observation recorded with respect to above ground dry biomass per plant of tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' was found significant during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis. Among the combination treatments, significantly highest above

Treatment (mg						Abov	e ground d	ry biomas:	s yield plaı	$\mathbf{nt}^{1}(\mathbf{g})$					
of element		Ñ	018 - 2019	6			64	019 - 202	0			P00	led (2018-)	20)	
kg - Soll)	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	I ₉₀	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	I ₃₆₀	Mean	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean
${ m MnSO}_41000$	6.50	7.70	42.40	54.53	27.78	66.16	74.70	82.20	84.36	76.85	36.33	38.27	62.30	69.45	51.58
${ m MnSO}_42000$	3.60	12.96	26.20	42.86	21.40	62.63	73.83	78.06	80.16	73.67	33.11	37.22	52.13	61.51	45.99
${ m MnSO}_4$ 3000	7.36	9.63	24.73	43.70	21.35	55.23	63.03	65.33	67.70	62.82	31.30	33.30	45.03	55.70	41.33
$CuSO_4 100$	3.66	4.66	27.80	30.20	16.58	55.56	58.13	61.50	63.53	59.68	29.61	30.32	44.65	46.86	37.86
$CuSO_4 200$	4.40	5.46	18.66	44.20	18.18	57.03	63.13	65.43	68.66	63.56	30.71	32.14	42.05	56.43	40.33
$CuSO_4 300$	4.56	5.56	35.56	39.53	21.30	54.53	62.86	72.23	73.73	65.84	29.55	31.41	53.90	56.63	42.87
$ZnSO_4 200$	13.60	16.53	47.26	56.33	33.43	73.16	78.60	81.36	82.76	78.97	43.38	45.05	64.31	69.54	55.57
$ZnSO_400$	17.60	25.26	64.20	74.80	45.46	76.86	81.93	88.26	93.53	85.15	47.23	49.77	76.23	84.16	64.34
$ZnSO_4 600$	7.43	8.50	33.66	53.40	25.75	57.36	61.86	63.90	67.03	62.54	32.40	35.50	48.78	60.21	44.22
Control	2.46	3.20	8.80	24.80	9.81	35.56	45.93	50.46	52.26	46.05	19.01	21.23	29.63	38.53	27.10
Mean	7.12	9.95	32.92	46.43		59.41	66.40	70.87	73.37		33.26	35.42	51.90	59.90	
Factor	L_1	T	Ι	L	Ι×		T	Ι		[×I	L	r .	Ι	E	I×I
SEm±	0.	58	0.36	1	.16	0	.61	0.38		1.22	0.4	10	0.25		.81
CD at 5%	1.	63	1.03	(m)	.27		.72	1.08		3.44	1.1	4	0.72	(1	.29

Prajwal

CV.

Zn) on

Mn,

: Influence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu,

Table 6

Treatment (mg						E	otal dry bi	omass yiel	d plant ⁻¹ (g	•					
of element		Ā	018 - 2015				7	019 - 202	0			Pool	led (2018-2	(0)	
kg ⁻ S0II)	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	\mathbf{I}_{360}	Mean	\mathbf{I}_{90}	\mathbf{I}_{180}	\mathbf{I}_{270}	I ₃₆₀	Mean
MnSO_41000	14.56	21.80	46.00	55.00	34.34	105.86	128.46	132.16	152.53	129.75	60.21	66.17	80.08	94.95	77.60
$MnSO_4 2000$	14.96	17.66	41.66	67.80	35.52	94.46	106.63	116.26	135.83	113.30	54.71	57.68	78.96	88.10	69.86
$MnSO_4 3000$	14.46	18.06	42.00	74.90	37.35	120.83	124.56	135.26	143.83	131.12	67.65	69.11	88.63	96.92	80.57
$CuSO_4 100$	16.90	17.56	48.00	77.66	40.03	115.66	126.73	132.00	136.96	128.55	66.28	68.62	90.00	96.92	80.45
$CuSO_4 200$	16.80	17.40	31.66	63.00	32.91	116.30	125.46	129.46	143.00	127.84	66.55	68.50	80.56	89.53	76.28
$CuSO_4 300$	11.90	18.86	44.33	56.56	32.21	91.10	127.20	132.66	153.90	126.21	51.50	60.11	88.50	95.18	73.82
$ZnSO_4 200$	18.03	23.46	54.00	74.33	42.45	114.70	129.60	137.80	168.20	137.57	66.36	70.43	95.90	106.04	84.68
$ZnSO_4400$	24.93	41.10	84.80	95.46	61.57	158.06	184.40	193.53	212.23	187.05	91.50	66.66	139.16	145.03	118.92
$ZnSO_4 600$	14.46	17.90	45.33	53.93	32.90	76.73	115.56	118.56	123.60	108.61	45.60	54.04	81.95	84.67	66.56
Control	8.63	9.30	22.00	34.46	18.60	38.96	42.53	46.63	53.63	45.44	23.80	24.64	34.31	38.20	30.23
Mean	15.56	20.31	45.97	65.31		103.27	121.11	127.43	142.37		59.41	63.92	86.70	93.55	
Factor		L	I	L	I×		T	H		I×I	L	-	H	L	×I
SEm±	0	77	0.49	1	.55	0	.81	0.51		.62	0.5	9	0.35		12
CD at 5%	2	19	1.38	4	.37	2	.29	1.45	7	.58	1.5	8	1.00	3.	16

84.16 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded by application of $ZnSO_4 @ 400 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ soil at 360 DAP followed by application of $ZnSO_4 @ 400 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ soil at 270 DAP, whereas significantly lowest above ground dry biomass per plant (2.46 g, 35.56 g and 19.01 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded in the untreated control plants at 90 DAP.

ground dry biomass per plant (74.80 g, 93.53 g and

Significant differences were noticed in the analyzed data of total dry biomass yield per plant by soil application of graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations (Table 7). Among the graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations, application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest total dry biomass yield per plant (61.57 g, 187.05 g and 118.92 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) followed by application of ZnSO₄ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil, whereas application of ZnSO₄ @ 600 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly lowest total dry biomass yield per plant, among the ZnSO₄ concentrations. Among the MnSO₄ concentrations, application of MnSO₄ @ 1000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly lowest total dry biomass yield per plant (34.34 g, 129.75 g and 77.60 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data), whereas application of MnSO₄ @ 3000 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest total dry biomass yield per plant (37.35 g, 131.12 g and 80.57 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis). Among the concentrations of CuSO₄, application of CuSO₄ @ 100 mg kg⁻¹ soil recorded significantly highest total dry biomass yield per plant (40.03 g, 128.55 g and 80.45 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis), whereas significantly lowest total dry biomass yield per plant was recorded by application of CuSO₄ @ 300 mg kg⁻¹ soil (32.21 g, 126.21 g and 73.82 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) and was found at par with the application of CuSO₄ @ 200 mg kg⁻¹ soil. Among all the treatments, untreated control plants recorded significantly lowest total dry biomass yield per plant (18.60 g, 45.44 g and 30.23 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis). Based on the analysis of data, it was evident that soil application of graded levels of ZnSO₄ recorded significant increase in the total dry biomass yield per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis. Thalooth et al. (2006) observed significant increase in the total dry biomass yield per plant in mung bean by application of Zn which might be attributed to enhanced metabolic activity in the plant as well as an increase noticed in the contents

Table 7 : Influence of applied essential heavy metals (Cu, Mn, Zn) on total dry biomass yield plant⁻¹ of Polianthes tuberosa cv. Prajwal.

of chlorophyll pigments which ultimately enhanced the rate of photosynthesis.

Significant differences were noticed among the intervals of observation recorded with respect to the total dry biomass yield per plant of tuberose *cv.* 'Prajwal' during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis. Among the intervals, significantly highest total dry biomass yield per plant (65.31 g, 142.37 g and 93.55 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at 360 DAP, whereas significantly lowest total dry biomass yield per plant (15.56 g, 103.27 g and 59.41 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded at analysis) was recorded at the initial 90 DAP interval. A significant and gradual increase in the total dry biomass yield per plant was recorded with the passage of time during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data analysis.

The data pertaining to interaction effects between graded levels of essential heavy metal concentrations and the intervals of observation recorded with respect to total dry biomass yield per plant was found significant during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis. Among the combination treatments, significantly highest total dry biomass yield per plant (95.46 g, 212.23 g and 145.03 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded by application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil at 360 DAP followed by application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil at 270 DAP (84.80 g, 193.53 g and 139.16 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis), whereas significantly lowest total dry biomass yield per plant (8.63 g, 38.96 g and 23.80 g respectively during 2018-19, 2019-20 and the pooled data analysis) was recorded in the untreated control plants recorded at 90 DAP. Significant increase recorded in the total dry biomass yield per plant in tuberose cv. 'Prajwal' by soil application of ZnSO₄ @ 400 mg kg⁻¹ soil might be attributed to the biosynthesis of tryptophan, the precursor of auxin and the active role played in carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism of the plant. Frossard et al. (2000) also expressed similar kind of opinion while working on different crop species. Taliee and Sayadian (2000) recorded similar kind of result with respect to total dry biomass yield per plant in chickpea by application of Zn in soil.

References

- Abedin, M.J, Alam M.N, Hossain M.J, Ara N.A. and Haque K.M.F. (2012). Effect of micronutrients on growth and yield of onion under calcareous soil environment. *Int. J. Biosci.*, 2(8), 95-101.
- Bohra, A, Dheera S. and Chauhan R. (2015). Heavy metal toxicity and tolerance in plants with special reference to cadmium: A Review. *J. Plant Sci. Res.*, **31**(1), 51-74.
- Cakmak, I, Kalayci M., Ekis H., Brauni J., Kilinc Y. and Yilmaz A.

(1999). Zn deficiency as a practical problem in plant and human nutrition in Turkey: a NATO-Science for Stability Project. *Field Crop Res.*, **60**, 175-188.

- Frossard, E, Bucher M., Mächler F., Mozafar A. and Hurrell R. (2000). Potential for increasing the content and bio-availability of Fe, Zn and Ca in plants for human nutrition. J. Sci. Food Agricult., 80, 861-879.
- Gamelli, E.L., Hanna H. and Hadi E.L. (2000). The effect of some foliar fertilizers application on growth, bulb yield, quality and storage ability of Giza 20 onion cultivar (*Allium cepa* L). *Annals Agricult. Sci.*, **38(3)**, 1727-1737.
- Khije, J.A. (1972). Effect of different doses of zinc and manganese as soil application on the yield and uptake of nutrients of hybrid jowar (CSH -1). *M.Sc. (Agrl.) thesis* submitted to Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharastra, India.
- Malewar, G.U., Indulkar B.S. and Takankhar V.G. (1993). Root characteristics and yield attributes influenced by zinc levels on groundnut varieties. *Annals Agricult. Res.*, 14(4), 478-781.
- Meena, O.S. and Singh D. (1998). Effect of sulfur and zinc application on onion yield and sulfur and zinc uptake in three soil orders. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, **46(4)**, 636-640.
- Patel, T.D., Viradia R.R., Tejashwini C.R., Patel, H.V. and Patel U.R. (2017). Studies on effect of foliar application of micronutrients (Fe and Zn) on growth, flowering, quality and yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Prajwal. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.*, 5(6), 93-97.
- Rehman, S.K. (1992). Genotypic variation in seedling root development, plant growth and dry matter partitioning in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Ph.D dissertation* submitted to Texas Tech University, Texas, United States of America.
- Shanker, H., Chandra B. and Lallu N. (1999). Effect of levels of zinc on growth, dry matter and yield of sesame varieties. *J. Oilseeds Res.*, **16(1)**, 74-77.
- Singaravel, R., Imayavaramban Y., Dhanunathan K. and Shanmughapriya N. (2001). Response of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) to manganese and zinc nutrition. J. Oil Seeds Res., 18(1), 136-138.
- Sofy, M.R. (2015). Application of salicylic acid and zinc improve wheat yield through physiological processes under different levels of irrigation intervals. *Int. J. Plant Res.*, **5**(**5**), 136-156.
- Srinivasan, P.S. and Velu G (1982). The effect of different sources and method of application of zinc on the chlorophyll and nitrogen content, nodulation and yield of ground nut varieties. *Madras Agricult. J.*, **69(6)**, 394-397.
- Taliee, A. and Sayadian K. (2000). Effect of supplemental irrigation and plant nutrient in chickpea. J. Agron. Sci., 2, 12-19.
- Thalooth, A.T., Tawfik M.M. and Mohamed H.M. (2006). A comparative study on the effect of foliar application of zinc, potassium and magnesium on growth, yield and some chemical constituents of mung bean plants grown under water stress conditions. *World J. Agricult. Sci.*, **2**(1), 37-46.
- Zarmehri, S.G., Moosavi S.G., Zabihi H.R. and Seghateslami M.J. (2013). The effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and zinc fertilizer on forage yield of maize under water deficit stress conditions. *Technical J. Engg. Appl. Sci.*, **3(23)**, 3281-3290.